arXiv:1704.07903v1 [math.RT] 25 Apr 2017

THE CENTRALIZER OF K IN $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p})$ FOR THE GROUP $SO_e(4,1)$

ANA PRLIĆ*

Abstract. Let G be the Lie group $SO_e(4,1)$, with maximal compact subgroup $K = S(O(4) \times O(1))_e \cong SO(4)$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(5,\mathbb{C})$ be the complexification of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(4,1)$ of G, and let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $C(\mathfrak{p})$ the Clifford algebra of $\mathfrak{p}$ with respect to the trace form $B(X,Y) = \mathrm{tr}(XY)$ on $\mathfrak{p}$. In this paper we give explicit generators of the algebra $(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$.

1. Introduction

Let G be a connected real reductive Lie group with Cartan involution Θ, such that $K = G^\Theta$ is a maximal compact subgroup of G. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the complexification of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ of G, and let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_0$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the Cartan decompositions of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to Θ. Let B be a fixed nondegenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}_0$, such that B is negative definite on $\mathfrak{k}_0$ and positive definite on $\mathfrak{p}_0$, and such that $\mathfrak{k}_0$ is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{p}_0$. We use the same letter B for the extension of B to $\mathfrak{g}$. In general, one can define B as a suitable extension of the Killing form, while for matrix examples one can take the trace form. Let $C(\mathfrak{p})$ be the Clifford algebra of $\mathfrak{p}$ with respect to B.

If X is an irreducible $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$–module, then X is completely determined by the action of the centralizer of $\mathfrak{k}$ in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ on any K–isotypic component of X. This was proved by Harish-Chandra in [HC]. The problem with this approach to determination of all X is that the structure of the algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ is very complicated to describe.

There is a version of this theorem proved in [PR] where one considers modules $X \otimes S$, where X is a $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$–module, and S is the spin module for $C(\mathfrak{p})$. Let $\tilde{K}$ be the spin double cover of K. Then $X \otimes S$ is a $(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}), \tilde{K})$–module and it is proved in [PR] that an irreducible $(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}), \tilde{K})$–module

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22E47; Secondary 22E46.

Key words and phrases. Lie group, Lie algebra, representation, Dirac operator, Dirac cohomology.

*The author was supported by grant no. 4176 of the Croatian Science Foundation and by the QuantiXLie Center of Excellence.

is determined by the action of the algebra $(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ on any nontrivial $\tilde{K}$–isotypic component of $X \otimes S$.

The algebra $(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ is completely determined for the group $SU(2,1)$ in [Pr1]. Except for the obvious generators from the center $Z(\mathfrak{k})$ of $U(\mathfrak{k})$ and $C(\mathfrak{p})^K$, there are two more generators: the Dirac operator, and its $\mathfrak{k}$–version called $\mathfrak{k}$–Dirac. In this paper we prove that a similar result holds for the group $SO_e(4,1)$. In this case $\mathfrak{k}$ is equivalent to the product of two copies of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ and we prove that the centralizer of $\mathfrak{k}$ in $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p})$ is generated by two copies of the Casimir operator of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,C)$, by one generator from $C(\mathfrak{p})^K$, by the Dirac operator and by the $\mathfrak{k}$–Dirac.

The algebra $(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ is also important for the Dirac induction developed in [PR] and further studied in [Pr2]. For the reader's convenience, let us recall the basic definitions and results important in the setting of the Dirac operator.

The Dirac operator corresponding to a real reductive Lie group was defined by Parthasarathy [P], where it was used to construct the discrete series representations. The final form of the construction was given by Atiyah and Schmid [AS]. The algebraic version of the Dirac operator and the notion of Dirac cohomology were defined by Vogan in [V] and further studied by Huang and Pandžić [HP1], [HP2]. Let b_i be a basis of $\mathfrak{p}$ and let d_i be the dual basis with respect to B. Then

$$D = \sum_i b_i \otimes d_i \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}).$$

The sum does not depend of the choice of basis b_i. If X is a $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$–module, and S a spin module for $C(\mathfrak{p})$, then the Dirac cohomology of X is

$$H_D(X) = \mathrm{Ker}D/\mathrm{Im}D \cap \mathrm{Ker}D.$$

It is a $\tilde{K}$–module. In [PR] the opposite construction was described, i.e. a construction of a $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$–module X whose Dirac cohomology contains a given irreducible $\tilde{K}$–module. It was proved in [PR] and [Pr2] that some discrete series representation can be constructed in that way. The final goal is to prove that the same construction works for all discrete series representation, and also for some other modules which may be difficult to construct otherwise.

2. The algebra $(S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \bigwedge(\mathfrak{p}))^K$

We use the same strategy as in [Pr1]: we study the algebra $(S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \bigwedge(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ since the algebras $S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \bigwedge(\mathfrak{p})$ and $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p})$ are isomorphic as K–modules, and the algebra $S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \bigwedge(\mathfrak{p})$ is easier to study.

Let G be the Lie group

$$SO(4,1) = \{g \in GL(5,\mathbb{R}) \,|\, g^\tau \gamma g = \gamma\},$$

where

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The (real) Lie algebra of G is

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(4,1) = \{x \in \mathfrak{gl}(5,\mathbb{R}) \,|\, x^\tau = -\gamma x \gamma, \quad \mathrm{tr} X = 0\}.$$

We use the following basis for the complexification $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_0$:

$$H_1 = ie_{12} - ie_{21}, \quad H_2 = ie_{34} - ie_{43},$$
$$E_1 = \frac{1}{2}(e_{13} - e_{24} - ie_{23} - ie_{14} - e_{31} + e_{42} + ie_{32} + ie_{41}),$$
$$E_2 = \frac{1}{2}(e_{13} + e_{24} - ie_{23} + ie_{14} - e_{31} - e_{42} + ie_{32} - ie_{41}),$$
$$F_1 = \frac{-1}{2}(e_{13} - e_{24} + ie_{23} + ie_{14} - e_{31} + e_{42} - ie_{32} - ie_{41}),$$
$$F_2 = \frac{-1}{2}(e_{13} + e_{24} + ie_{23} - ie_{14} - e_{31} - e_{42} - ie_{32} + ie_{41}),$$
$$E_3 = e_{15} - ie_{25} + e_{51} - ie_{52},$$
$$E_4 = e_{35} - ie_{45} + e_{53} - ie_{54},$$
$$F_3 = e_{15} + ie_{25} + e_{51} + ie_{52},$$
$$F_4 = e_{35} + ie_{45} + e_{53} + ie_{54}.$$

where e_{ij} denotes the matrix with the ij entry equal to 1 and all other entries equal to 0. The commutation relations are given by the following table:

TABLE 1. commutator table

	H_2	E_1	E_2	F_1	F_2	E_3	E_4	F_3	F_4
H_1	0	E_1	$E2$	$-F_1$	$-F_2$	E_3	0	$-F_3$	0
H_2		E_1	$-E2$	$-F_1$	F_2	0	E_4	0	$-F_4$
E_1			0	H_1+H_2	0	0	0	$-E_4$	E_3
E_2				0	H_1-H_2	0	E_3	$-F_4$	0
F_1					0	F_4	$-F_3$	0	0
F_2						E_4	0	0	$-F_3$
E_3							$2E_1$	$2H_1$	$2E_2$
E_4								$2F_2$	$2H_2$
F_3									$-2F_1$

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the Cartan involution $\theta(X) = -X^\tau$. Then

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathrm{span}\{H_1, H_2, E_1, E_2, F_1, F_2\} \cong \mathfrak{so}(4,\mathbb{C}), \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{span}\{E_3, E_4, F_3, F_4\}.$$

We have $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_2$, where

$$\mathfrak{k}_1 = \mathrm{span}\{H_1+H_2, E_1, F_1\} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}), \quad \mathfrak{k}_2 = \mathrm{span}\{H_1-H_2, E_2, F_2\} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$$

with $H_1 + H_2$, E_1 and F_1 (resp. $H_1 - H_2$, E_2 and F_2) corresponding to the standard basis of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$. Algebras $\mathfrak{k}_1$ and $\mathfrak{k}_2$ mutually commute. We set

$$a_1 = (H_1 + H_2)^2 + 4E_1F_1 \in S(\mathfrak{k}_1) \subset S(\mathfrak{g})$$
$$a_2 = (H_1 - H_2)^2 + 4E_2F_2 \in S(\mathfrak{k}_2) \subset S(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Note that a_1 (respectively a_2) symmetrizes to a multiple of the Casimir element of $U(\mathfrak{k}_1)$ (respectively $U(\mathfrak{k}_2)$), and that they are both K–invariant.

From [Pr1, Lemma 2.1] it follows that

$$S(\mathfrak{k}_1)^{\mathfrak{k}_1} = \mathbb{C}[a_1] \qquad \text{and} \qquad S(\mathfrak{k}_2)^{\mathfrak{k}_2} = \mathbb{C}[a_2]. \tag{1}$$

and also

$$S(\mathfrak{k}_1) = S(\mathfrak{k}_1)^{\mathfrak{k}_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad S(\mathfrak{k}_2) = S(\mathfrak{k}_2)^{\mathfrak{k}_2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_2}, \tag{2}$$

with the spaces of harmonics $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_2}$ decomposing as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_1} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} V_{(n,n)} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_2} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} V_{(n,-n)}.$$

Here $V_{(n,n)}$ denotes the $\mathfrak{so}(4,\mathbb{C})$–module with the highest weight vector E_1^n, on which H_1 and H_2 both act by n. Similarly, $V_{(n,-n)}$ denotes the $\mathfrak{so}(4,\mathbb{C})$–module with the highest weight vector E_2^n, on which H_1 acts by n and H_2 by $-n$.

Similarly as in the proof of [Pr1, Lemma 2.3.], we have

$$S^n(\mathfrak{p}) = V_{(n,0)} \oplus (E_3F_3 + E_4F_4)S^{n-2}(\mathfrak{p}),$$

where $V_{(n,0)}$ is the $\mathfrak{so}(4,\mathbb{C})$–module with the highest weight vector E_3^n. Let us denote

$$b = E_3F_3 + E_4F_4.$$

One can easily check that b is K–invariant. Now we have

$$S(\mathfrak{p}) = S(\mathfrak{p})^K \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{C}[b] \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} V_{(n,0)}.$$

From (1), (2) and (3) it follows that

$$S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \bigwedge(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathbb{C}[a_1, a_2, b] \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \bigwedge(\mathfrak{p}).$$

The $\mathfrak{k}$-submodules of $\bigwedge(\mathfrak{p})$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\textstyle\bigwedge^0(\mathfrak{p}) \cong \bigwedge^4(\mathfrak{p}) \cong V_{(0,0)}\\
&\textstyle\bigwedge^1(\mathfrak{p}) \cong \bigwedge^3(\mathfrak{p}) \cong V_{(1,0)}\\
&\operatorname{span}\{E_3 \wedge E_4, E_3 \wedge F_3 + E_4 \wedge F_4, F_3 \wedge F_4\} \cong V_{(1,1)}\\
&\operatorname{span}\{E_3 \wedge F_4, E_3 \wedge F_3 - E_4 \wedge F_4, E_4 \wedge F_3\} \cong V_{(1,-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\bigwedge(\mathfrak{p})$ decomposes under $\mathfrak{k}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\textstyle\bigwedge(\mathfrak{p}) = &\overbrace{V_{(0,0)}}^{0}\\
&\oplus \overbrace{V_{(1,0)}}^{1}\\
&\oplus \overbrace{V_{(1,1)}}^{2} \oplus \overbrace{V_{(1,-1)}}^{2}\\
&\oplus \overbrace{V_{(1,0)}}^{3}\\
&\oplus \overbrace{V_{(0,0)}}^{4},
\end{aligned}$$

where each of the numbers over braces denotes the degree in which the corresponding $\mathfrak{k}$-module is appearing.

If V is a $\mathfrak{k}_1$–module and W is a $\mathfrak{k}_2$–module, then we denote by $V \boxtimes W$ the external tensor product of V and W. As a vector space, $V \boxtimes W$ is equal to the direct sum of V and W, and the action of $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_2$–module is defined so that $\mathfrak{k}_1$ acts on V and $\mathfrak{k}_2$ acts on W. Then we have

$$V_{(n,m)} \simeq V_{n+m} \boxtimes V_{n-m} \quad \text{as } \mathfrak{k}\text{–modules},$$

where V_k denotes the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$–module with highest weight k. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_2} &= \left(\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} V_{(n,n)}\right) \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} V_{(m,-m)}\right)\\
&\simeq \left(\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} V_{2n} \boxtimes V_0\right) \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} V_0 \boxtimes V_{2m}\right)\\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} (V_{2n} \otimes V_0) \boxtimes (V_0 \otimes V_{2m})\\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} V_{2n} \boxtimes V_{2m}\\
&\simeq \bigoplus_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}_+} V_{(n+m,n-m)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have seen that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} V_{(k,0)}$. On the other hand,

(4)

$$\begin{aligned} V_{(n+m,n-m)} \otimes V_{(k,0)} &= (V_{2n} \boxtimes V_{2m}) \otimes (V_k \boxtimes V_k) \\ &= (V_{2n} \otimes V_k) \boxtimes (V_{2m} \otimes V_k) \\ &= \left(V_{2n+k} \oplus V_{2n+k-2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{|2n-k|}\right) \boxtimes \left(V_{2m+k} \oplus V_{2m+k-2} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{|2m-k|}\right) \\ &= (V_{2n+k} \boxtimes V_{2m+k}) \oplus (V_{2n+k} \boxtimes V_{2m+k-2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \left(V_{|2n-k|} \boxtimes V_{|2m-k|}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge(\mathfrak{p}) = &\left(\overbrace{V_0 \boxtimes V_0}^{0}\right) \\ &\oplus \left(\overbrace{V_1 \boxtimes V_1}^{1}\right) \\ &\oplus \left(\overbrace{V_2 \boxtimes V_0}^{2}\right) \oplus \left(\overbrace{V_0 \boxtimes V_2}^{2}\right) \\ &\oplus \left(\overbrace{V_1 \boxtimes V_1}^{3}\right) \\ &\oplus \left(\overbrace{V_0 \boxtimes V_0}^{4}\right). \end{aligned}$$

where as before, the numbers over braces denote the degrees in $\bigwedge(\mathfrak{p})$.

In order to get an invariant, we can tensor $V_0 \boxtimes V_0$ only with $V_0 \boxtimes V_0$. In (4), we have $V_0 \boxtimes V_0$ only if $|2n-k| = |2m-k| = 0$, that is, $2n = 2m = k$. In that case, we have one invariant in degree $4n$ and one invariant in degree $4n+4$, where $n \geq 0$.

Furthermore, $V_1 \boxtimes V_1$ can be tensored only with $V_1 \boxtimes V_1$, and in (4) we have $V_1 \boxtimes V_1$ only for $|2n-k| = |2m-k| = 1$. We have several cases:

- If $n = m, k = 2n+1$, we have one invariant in degree $4n+2$ and one invariant in degree $4n+4$, where $n \geq 0$.
- If $n = m, k = 2n-1$, we have one invariant in degree $4n$ and one invariant in degree $4n+2$, where $n \geq 1$.
- If $m = n+1, k = 2n+1$, we have one invariant in degree $4n+3$ and one invariant in degree $4n+5$, where $n \geq 0$.
- If $m = n-1, k = 2n-1$, we have one invariant in degree $4n-1$ and one invariant in degree $4n+1$, where $n \geq 1$.

The exterior product $V_2 \boxtimes V_0$ can be tensored only with $V_2 \boxtimes V_0$. In (4), we have $V_2 \boxtimes V_0$ only for $|2n-k| = 2, |2m-k| = 0$ or $|2n-k|+2 = 2, |2m-k| = 0$. The cases are:

- If $k=2n$, we have one invariant in degree $4n+2$, where $n\geq 1$.
- If $2n-k=2$, we have one invariant in degree $4n-1$, where $n\geq 1$.
- If $2n-k=-2$, we have one invariant in degree $4n+5$, where $n\geq 0$.

Finally, $V_0\boxtimes V_2$ can be tensored only with $V_0\boxtimes V_2$, and in (4) we have $V_0\boxtimes V_2$ only for $|2n-k|=0, |2m-k|=2$ or $|2n-k|=0, |2m-k|+2=2$. The cases are:

- If $m=n$, we have one invariant in degree $4n+2$, where $n\geq 1$.
- If $2m-k=2$, we have one invariant in degree $4n+3$, where $n\geq 0$.
- If $2m-k=-2$, we have one invariant in degree $4n+1$, where $n\geq 1$.

From all the above we conclude that we have the following table for the K–invariants in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_1}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_2}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}\otimes\bigwedge(\mathfrak{p})$:

degree	number of linearly independent invariants
0	1
1	0
2	1
$4k,\quad k\geq 1$	4
$4k+1,\quad k\geq 1$	4
$4k+2,\quad k\geq 1$	4
$4k+3,\quad k\geq 1$	4

We have proved:

Proposition 2.1. *The algebra of K–invariants in $S(\mathfrak{g})\otimes\bigwedge(\mathfrak{p})$ can be written as*

$$(S(\mathfrak{g})\otimes\bigwedge(\mathfrak{p}))^K=\mathbb{C}[a_1,a_2,b]\otimes(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_1}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_2}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}\otimes\bigwedge(\mathfrak{p}))^K.$$

The number of invariants in $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_1}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{k}_2}\otimes\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{p}}\otimes\bigwedge(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ in each degree is given by the above table.

The elements a_1, a_2 and b of $S(\mathfrak{g})$ can be viewed as elements of $S(\mathfrak{g})\otimes\bigwedge(\mathfrak{p})$ by the identification $S(\mathfrak{g})=S(\mathfrak{g})\otimes 1$. We define further elements, which are

all easily checked to be K-invariant:

$$\begin{aligned}
D &= E_3 \otimes F_3 + E_4 \otimes F_4 + F_3 \otimes E_3 + F_4 \otimes E_4, \quad (\text{ the Dirac operator}) \\
c &= (2(E_1E_2F_3^2 - E_1F_2F_4^2 + F_1F_2E_3^2 - F_1E_2E_4^2) \\
&\quad - 2(H_1 - H_2)(E_1F_3F_4 + F_1E_3E_4) - 2(H_1 + H_2)(F_2E_3F_4 + E_2F_3E_4) \\
&\quad - (H_1 - H_2)(H_1 + H_2)(E_3F_3 - E_4F_4)) \otimes 1, \\
d &= 2E_1 \otimes F_3 \wedge F_4 - (H_1 + H_2) \otimes (E_3 \wedge F_3 + E_4 \wedge F_4) - 2F_1 \otimes E_3 \wedge E_4, \\
e &= 2E_2 \otimes E_4 \wedge F_3 + (H_1 - H_2) \otimes (E_3 \wedge F_3 - E_4 \wedge F_4) + 2F_2 \otimes E_3 \wedge F_4, \\
f &= 2(E_1F_3 \otimes F_4 - E_1F_4 \otimes F_3) \\
&\quad - (H_1 + H_2)(E_3 \otimes F_3 + E_4 \otimes F_4 - F_3 \otimes E_3 - F_4 \otimes E_4) \\
&\quad - 2(F_1E_3 \otimes E_4 - F_1E_4 \otimes E_3), \\
g &= -2(E_2F_3 \otimes E_4 - E_2E_4 \otimes F_3) \\
&\quad + (H_1 - H_2)(E_3 \otimes F_3 - E_4 \otimes F_4 - F_3 \otimes E_3 + F_4 \otimes E_4) \\
&\quad + 2(F_2E_3 \otimes F_4 - F_2F_4 \otimes E_3) \\
h &= 2(E_1E_2F_3 \otimes F_3 - E_1F_2F_4 \otimes F_4 + F_1F_2E_3 \otimes E_3 - F_1E_2E_4 \otimes E_4) \\
&\quad - (H_1 - H_2)(E_1F_3 \otimes F_4 + E_1F_4 \otimes F_3 + F_1E_3 \otimes E_4 + F_1E_4 \otimes E_3) \\
&\quad - (H_1 + H_2)(F_2E_3 \otimes F_4 + F_2F_4 \otimes E_3 + E_2F_3 \otimes E_4 + E_2E_4 \otimes F_3) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}(H_1 - H_2)(H_1 + H_2)(E_3 \otimes F_3 + F_3 \otimes E_3 - E_4 \otimes F_4 - F_4 \otimes E_4), \\
i &= 1 \otimes E_3 \wedge E_4 \wedge F_3 \wedge F_4, \\
j &= E_3 \otimes E_4 \wedge F_3 \wedge F_4 - E_4 \otimes E_3 \wedge F_3 \wedge F_4 \\
&\quad + F_3 \otimes E_3 \wedge E_4 \wedge F_4 - F_4 \otimes E_3 \wedge E_4 \wedge F_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let S and T be the following subsets of $(S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \bigwedge(\mathfrak{p}))^K$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \{a_1^{n_1}a_2^{n_2}b^{n_3}c^{n_4} \,|\, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \\
T &= \{1, D, d, e, f, g, h, i, j, Dd, De, Df, Dg, fg, Dh, dg\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the set $S \cdot T$ of products of elements of S and T in the algebra $S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \bigwedge(\mathfrak{p})$ is a basis for $(S(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \bigwedge(\mathfrak{p}))^K$.

Proof. We first prove the linear independence of the set $S \cdot T$. Notice that it is enough to prove the linear independence of following sets:

a) S,
b) $S \cdot \{D\} \cup S \cdot \{f\} \cup S \cdot \{g\} \cup S \cdot \{h\}$,
c) $S \cdot \{d\} \cup S \cdot \{Df\} \cup S \cdot \{e\} \cup S \cdot \{Dg\} \cup S \cdot \{Dh\} \cup S \cdot \{fg\}$,
d) $S \cdot \{j\} \cup S \cdot \{Dd\} \cup S \cdot \{De\} \cup S \cdot \{dg\}$.

The rest of the independence then follows by considering just the second factors in the tensor products.

a) Let $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \lambda_i \cdot a_1^{n_{1,i}}a_2^{n_{2,i}}b^{n_{3,i}}c^{n_{4,i}} = 0$. In the expansion of the summand $a_1^{n_{1,i}}a_2^{n_{2,i}}b^{n_{3,i}}c^{n_{4,i}}$ we consider the terms without $H_1 - H_2$, E_1, E_3, F_3.

There is only one such term and it is

$$(H_1+H_2)^{2n_{1,i}}(4E_2F_2)^{n_{2,i}}(E_4F_4)^{n_{3,i}}(-2F_1E_2E_4^2)^{n_{4,i}}.$$

We have

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}}\lambda_i(H_1+H_2)^{2n_{1,i}}(4E_2F_2)^{n_{2,i}}(E_4F_4)^{n_{3,i}}(-2F_1E_2E_4^2)^{n_{4,i}}=0,$$

that is,

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}}\lambda_i 4^{n_{2,i}}\cdot(-2)^{n_{4,i}}(H_1+H_2)^{2n_{1,i}}E_2^{n_{2,i}+n_{4,i}}E_4^{n_{3,i}+2n_{4,i}}F_1^{n_{4,i}}F_2^{n_{2,i}}F_4^{n_{3,i}}=0.$$

It follows from the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem that $\lambda_i=0$ for all $j\in\{1,\cdots,l\}$.

b) We consider summands of the form $\cdot\otimes F_3$. It is enough to show the linear independence of the set

$$\begin{aligned}&\{a_1^{n_1}a_2^{n_2}b^{n_3}c^{n_4}E_3\,|\,n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4\in\mathbb{N}_0\}\cup\\&\{a_1^{n_1}a_2^{n_2}b^{n_3}c^{n_4}\,(-2E_1F_4-(H_1+H_2)E_3)\,|\,n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4\in\mathbb{N}_0\}\cup\\&\{a_1^{n_1}a_2^{n_2}b^{n_3}c^{n_4}\,(2E_2E_4+(H_1-H_2)E_3)\,|\,n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4\in\mathbb{N}_0\}\cup\\&\{a_1^{n_1}a_2^{n_2}b^{n_3}c^{n_4}(2E_1E_2F_3-(H_1-H_2)E_1F_4-(H_1+H_2)E_2E_4-\\&\qquad\frac{1}{2}(H_1-H_2)(H_1+H_2)E_3)\,|\,n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4\in\mathbb{N}_0\}.\end{aligned}$$

As in case a), we consider the terms without H_1-H_2, E_1, E_3, F_3. We have

$$\sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}}\lambda_k(H_1+H_2)^{2n_{1,k}}(4E_2F_2)^{n_{2,k}}(E_4F_4)^{n_{3,k}}(-2F_1E_2E_4^2)^{n_{4,k}}\cdot(2E_2E_4)+$$
$$\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}}\lambda_l(H_1+H_2)^{2n_{1,l}}(4E_2F_2)^{n_{2,l}}(E_4F_4)^{n_{3,l}}(-2F_1E_2E_4^2)^{n_{4,l}}\,(-(H_1+H_2)E_2E_4)=0.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}}\lambda_k(H_1+H_2)^{2n_{1,k}}(4E_2F_2)^{n_{2,k}}(E_4F_4)^{n_{3,k}}(-2F_1E_2E_4^2)^{n_{4,k}}=0$$

and

$$\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}}\lambda_l(H_1+H_2)^{2n_{1,l}+1}(4E_2F_2)^{n_{2,l}}(E_4F_4)^{n_{3,l}}(-2F_1E_2E_4^2)^{n_{4,l}}=0.$$

By the same arguments as in case a), we get $\lambda_k=0$ for all $k\in\mathcal{K}$ and $\lambda_l=0$ for all $l\in\mathcal{L}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}}\lambda_i a_1^{n_{1,i}}a_2^{n_{2,i}}b^{n_{3,i}}c^{n_{4,i}}E_3+\sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}}\lambda_j a_1^{n_{1,j}}a_2^{n_{2,j}}b^{n_{3,j}}c^{n_{4,j}}\,(-2E_1F_4-(H_1+H_2)E_3)=0.$$

Now we consider the terms without H_1+H_2, E_2, E_4, F_4. We have

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}}\lambda_i(4E_1F_1)^{n_{1,i}}(H_1-H_2)^{2n_{2,i}}(E_3F_3)^{n_{3,i}}(2F_1F_2E_3^2)^{n_{4,i}}E_3=0,$$

which implies

$$\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \lambda_i \cdot 4^{n_{1,i}} \cdot 2^{n_{4,i}} (H_1 - H_2)^{2n_{2,i}} E_1^{n_{1,i}} E_3^{n_{3,i}+2n_{4,i}} F_1^{n_{1,i}+n_{4,i}} F_2^{n_{4,i}} F_3^{n_{3,i}} = 0.$$

Hence, $\lambda_i = 0$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$. So we have

$$\sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}} \lambda_j a_1^{n_{1,j}} a_2^{n_{2,j}} b^{n_{3,j}} c^{n_{4,j}} = 0.$$

Now a) implies that $\lambda_j = 0$ for all $j \in \mathcal{J}$.

c) In this case we consider summands of the form $\cdot \otimes E_3 \wedge F_3$. It is enough to prove the linear independence of the set

$$\begin{aligned}
&S \cdot (-(H_1 + H_2)) \quad \cup \\
&S \cdot (-2E_1F_4F_3 - (H_1 + H_2)E_3F_3 - (H_1 + H_2)E_3F_3 - 2F_1E_3E_4) \quad \cup \\
&S \cdot (H_1 - H_2) \quad \cup \\
&S \cdot (F_3(2E_2E_4 + (H_1 - H_2)E_3) + E_3((H_1 - H_2)F_3 + 2F_2F_4)) \quad \cup \\
&S \cdot (F_3(2E_1E_2F_3 - (H_1 - H_2)E_1F_4 - (H_1 + H_2)E_2E_4 - \frac{1}{2}(H_1 - H_2)(H_1 + H_2)E_3) \\
&\quad + E_3(-2F_1F_2E_3 + (H_1 - H_2)F_1E_4 + (H_1 + H_2)F_2F_4 + \frac{1}{2}(H_1 - H_2)(H_1 + H_2)F_3) \quad \cup \\
&S \cdot ((H_1 + H_2)F_3 + 2F_1E_4)(2E_2E_4 + (H_1 - H_2)E_3) \\
&\quad + (2E_1F_4 + (H_1 + H_2)E_3)(-(H_1 - H_2)F_3 - 2F_2F_4)).
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i\in\mathcal{I}} \lambda_i a_1^{n_{1,i}} a_2^{n_{2,i}} b^{n_{3,i}} c^{n_{4,i}} (-(H_1 + H_2)) + \\
&\sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}} \lambda_j a_1^{n_{1,j}} a_2^{n_{2,j}} b^{n_{3,j}} c^{n_{4,j}} (-2E_1F_4F_3 - (H_1 + H_2)E_3F_3 - (H_1 + H_2)E_3F_3 - 2F_1E_3E_4) + \\
&\sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} \lambda_k a_1^{n_{1,k}} a_2^{n_{2,k}} b^{n_{3,k}} c^{n_{4,k}} (H_1 - H_2) + \\
&\sum_{l\in\mathcal{L}} \lambda_l a_1^{n_{1,l}} a_2^{n_{2,l}} b^{n_{3,l}} c^{n_{4,l}} (F_3(2E_2E_4 + (H_1 - H_2)E_3) + E_3((H_1 - H_2)F_3 + 2F_2F_4)) + \\
&\sum_{m\in\mathcal{M}} \lambda_m a_1^{n_{1,m}} a_2^{n_{2,m}} b^{n_{3,m}} c^{n_{4,m}} (F_3(2E_1E_2F_3 - (H_1 - H_2)E_1F_4 - (H_1 + H_2)E_2E_4 \\
&- \frac{1}{2}(H_1 - H_2)(H_1 + H_2)E_3) + E_3(-2F_1F_2E_3 + (H_1 - H_2)F_1E_4 + (H_1 + H_2)F_2F_4 \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}(H_1 - H_2)(H_1 + H_2)F_3) + \\
&\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}} \lambda_n a_1^{n_{1,n}} a_2^{n_{2,n}} b^{n_{3,n}} c^{n_{4,n}} ((H_1 + H_2)F_3 + 2F_1E_4)(2E_2E_4 + (H_1 - H_2)E_3) \\
&+ (2E_1F_4 + (H_1 + H_2)E_3)(-(H_1 - H_2)F_3 - 2F_2F_4)) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We first consider the summands without H_1-H_2, E_1, E_3 and F_3 and we get, similarly as in case a), that $\lambda_i=0$ for all $i\in\mathcal{I}$ and $\lambda_n=0$ for all $n\in\mathcal{N}$. Now we consider summands without H_1+H_2, E_2, E_3 and F_3, and we get that $\lambda_k=0$ for all $k\in\mathcal{K}$. Then we consider summands without H_1+H_2, E_2, E_4 and F_4, and we get that $\lambda_l=0$ for all $l\in\mathcal{L}$ and $\lambda_m=0$ for all $m\in\mathcal{M}$. From this we conclude that also $\lambda_j=0$ for all $j\in\mathcal{J}$.

d) This time we consider summands of the form $\cdot\otimes E_4\wedge F_3\wedge F_4$. It is enough to show linear independence of the set

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{a_1^{n_1}a_2^{n_2}b^{n_3}c^{n_4}E_3\,|\,n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4\in\mathbb{N}_0\}\cup\\
&\{a_1^{n_1}a_2^{n_2}b^{n_3}c^{n_4}\left((H_1+H_2)E_3+2E_1F_4\right)\,|\,n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4\in\mathbb{N}_0\}\cup\\
&\{a_1^{n_1}a_2^{n_2}b^{n_3}c^{n_4}\left((H_1-H_2)E_3-2E_2E_4\right)\,|\,n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4\in\mathbb{N}_0\}\cup\\
&\{a_1^{n_1}a_2^{n_2}b^{n_3}c^{n_4}(-4E_1E_2F_3+2(H_1-H_2)E_1F_4+2(H_1+H_2)E_2E_4+\\
&\qquad (H_1+H_2)(H_1-H_2)E_3)\,|\,n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4\in\mathbb{N}_0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We consider the summands without H_1-H_2, E_1, E_4, F_4 and we get $\lambda_i=0$ for all $i\in\mathcal{I}$ and $\lambda_j=0$ for all $j\in\mathcal{J}$. Then we consider the summands without H_1+H_2, E_2, E_3, F_3 and we get $\lambda_l=0$ for all $l\in\mathcal{L}$ and $\lambda_k=0$ for all $k\in\mathcal{K}$.

This finishes the proof of linear independence of the set $S\cdot T$. To prove that $S\cdot T$ is also a spanning set, we consider the degrees of the elements of the set $\{c^{n_4}\}\cdot T$. The degrees of these elements are respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
&4n_4, 4n_4+2, 4n_4+3, 4n_4+3, 4n_4+3, 4n_4+3, 4n_4+4, 4n_4+4, 4n_4+4\\
&4n_4+5, 4n_4+5, 4n_4+5, 4n_4+5, 4n_4+6, 4n_4+6, 4n_4+6.
\end{aligned}$$

Considering the number of invariants in each degree of the set $\{c^{n_4}\,|\,n_4\in\mathbb{Z}_+\}\cdot T$, we get the following table:

degree	number of invariants
0	1
1	0
2	1
$4k,\quad k\geq 1$	4
$4k+1,\quad k\geq 1$	4
$4k+2,\quad k\geq 1$	4
$4k+3,\quad k\geq 0$	4

This finishes the proof, since we got the same table as in Proposition 2.1. □

3. The set of generators for $(U(\mathfrak{g})\otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$

Recall that the symmetrization map $\sigma: S(\mathfrak{g})\longrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ given by

$$\sigma(x_1x_2\cdots x_n)=\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\alpha\in S_n}x_{\alpha(1)}x_{\alpha(2)}\cdots x_{\alpha(n)},\quad n\in\mathbb{N}, x_1,\cdots,x_n\in\mathfrak{g}$$

is an isomorphism of K–modules. The Chevalley map $\tau : \bigwedge(\mathfrak{p}) \longrightarrow C(\mathfrak{p})$ given by

$$\tau(v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\alpha \in S_n} \mathrm{sgn}(\alpha) v_{\alpha(1)} v_{\alpha(2)} \cdots v_{\alpha(n)}$$

is also an isomorphism of K–modules.

Since for $z_1, \cdots, z_n \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\alpha \in S_n$ we have

$$z_1 \cdots z_n - z_{\alpha(1)} \cdots z_{\alpha(n)} \in U_{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

it follows that

$$\sigma(z_1 \cdots z_n) = z_1 \cdots z_n \quad \text{modulo } U_{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Similarly, since for $y_1, \cdots, y_k \in \mathfrak{p}$ and $\alpha \in S_k$ we have

$$y_1 \cdots y_k - \mathrm{sgn}(\alpha) y_{\alpha(1)} \cdots y_{\alpha(k)} \in C_{k-1}(\mathfrak{p}),$$

it follows that

$$\tau(y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_k) = y_1 \cdots y_k \quad \text{modulo } C_{k-1}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

So we have

$$\sigma(xy) = \sigma(x)\sigma(y) + U_{n+m-1}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad x \in U_n(\mathfrak{g}), y \in U_m(\mathfrak{g}), \tag{5}$$

and

$$\tau(zw) = \tau(z)\tau(w) + C_{k+l-1}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad z \in C_k(\mathfrak{p}), w \in C_l(\mathfrak{p}). \tag{6}$$

Let $\rho = \sigma \otimes \tau$. Using (5), (6) and Proposition 2.2 one shows by induction that the following lemma holds:

Lemma 3.1. *The algebra $(U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ is generated by elements $\rho(a_1)$, $\rho(a_2)$, $\rho(b)$, $\rho(c)$, $\rho(D)$, $\rho(d)$, $\rho(e)$, $\rho(f)$, $\rho(g)$, $\rho(h)$, $\rho(i)$ and $\rho(j)$.* □

We define the $\mathfrak{k}$-Dirac operator $D_{\mathfrak{k}} \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p})$ mentioned in the introduction by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mathfrak{k}} = {} & E_1 \otimes \alpha(2F_1) + E_2 \otimes \alpha(2F_2) + F_1 \otimes \alpha(2E_2) + F_2 \otimes \alpha(2E_2) \\ & + (H_1 - H_2) \otimes \alpha(H_1 - H_2) + (H_1 + H_2) \otimes \alpha(H_1 + H_2). \end{aligned}$$

We can now reduce the set of generators for $(U(\mathfrak{g})\otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ given by Lemma 3.1, since we have

$$\rho(b) = -\frac{1}{2}D^2 + D_{\mathfrak{k}},$$
$$\rho(d) = D_{\mathfrak{k}} - \frac{1}{2}\Big(D_{\mathfrak{k}}\cdot\rho(i) + \rho(i)\cdot D_{\mathfrak{k}}\Big),$$
$$\rho(e) = -D_{\mathfrak{k}} - \frac{1}{2}\Big(D_{\mathfrak{k}}\cdot\rho(i) + \rho(i)\cdot D_{\mathfrak{k}}\Big),$$
$$\rho(j) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\rho(i)\cdot D - D\cdot\rho(i)\Big),$$
$$\rho(f) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\rho(d)\cdot D - D\cdot\rho(d) - 3\rho(j)\Big),$$
$$\rho(g) = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\rho(e)\cdot D - D\cdot\rho(e) - 3\rho(j)\Big),$$
$$\rho(h) = \frac{1}{4}\left(\rho(d)\left(\rho(g)+\frac{3}{2}D\right) + \rho(e)\left(\rho(f)-\frac{3}{2}D\right) - \frac{3}{4}\Big(\rho(f)-\rho(g)-D\Big)\right),$$
$$\begin{aligned}\rho(c) = \frac{1}{4}\Bigg(&\left(\rho(f)-\frac{3}{2}D\right)\cdot\left(\rho(g)+\frac{3}{2}D\right) + \left(\rho(g)+\frac{3}{2}D\right)\cdot\left(\rho(f)-\frac{3}{2}D\right)\\ &- (\rho(a_2)\cdot\rho(d) + \rho(d)\cdot\rho(a_2)) + (\rho(a_1)\cdot\rho(e) + \rho(e)\cdot\rho(a_1))\\ &- \frac{1}{2}\Big(\rho(g)\cdot D - D\cdot\rho(g)\Big) + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\rho(f)\cdot D - D\cdot\rho(f)\Big)\\ &+ (4\cdot\rho(b)+5)\cdot(\rho(d)-\rho(e)) + 6\cdot\rho(b) - 8\cdot\rho(a_1) - 8\cdot\rho(a_2)\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

From this we conclude

Theorem 3.2. *The algebra $(U(\mathfrak{g})\otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ is generated by the elements $\rho(a_1)$, $\rho(a_2)$, $\rho(i)\in C(\mathfrak{p})^K$, D and $D_{\mathfrak{k}}$.*

By the same argument as in [Pr1, Corollary 4.5.], we get

Corollary 3.3. *The algebra $(U(\mathfrak{g})\otimes C(\mathfrak{p}))^K$ is a free module over $U(\mathfrak{g})^K$ of rank 16.*

The last result is a special case of the more general result proved in Corollary [K, Corollary 1].

References

[AS] M. Atiyah and W. Schmid, *A geometric construction of the discrete series for semisimple Lie groups*, Invent. Math. **42** (1977), 1–62.

[HC] Harish-Chandra, *Representations of semisimple Lie groups. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **76** (1954), 26âĂŞ-65.

[HP1] J.-S. Huang and P. Pandžić, *Dirac cohomology, unitary representations and a proof of a conjecture of Vogan*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), 185–202.

[HP2] J.-S. Huang and P. Pandžić, *Dirac Operators in Representation Theory*, Mathematics: Theory and Applications, Birkhäuser, 2006.

[K] H. Kraljević, *K–structure of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ for $\mathfrak{su}(n,1)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(n,1)$*, arXiv:1611.07900v1.

[PR] P. Pandžić, D. Renard, *Dirac induction for Harish-Chandra modules*, J. Lie Theory **20** (2010), no. 4, 617–641.

[P] R. Parthasarathy, *Dirac operator and the discrete series*, Ann. of Math. **96** (1972), 1–30.

[Pr1] Prlić, A., *K–invariants in the algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C(\mathfrak{p})$ for the group $SU(2,1)$*, Glas. Mat. **50** (2015), no. 2, 397-414.

[Pr2] Prlić, A., *Algebraic Dirac induction for nonholomorphic Discrete Series of $SU(2,1)$*, J. Lie Theory **26** (2016), no. 3, 889–910.

[V] D. A. Vogan, Jr., *Dirac operators and unitary representations*, 3 talks at MIT Lie groups seminar, Fall 1997.

Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb, 10 000 Zagreb, Croatia
E-mail address: anaprlic@math.hr